


Image

2851

Docket No.: GRANP1.001C1

Customer No.: 20,995



AMENDMENT / RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

Applicant: Byoungyi Yoon

Application Number: 10/067,628

Filing Date: February 4, 2002

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD
FOR DISPLAYING 3-
DIMENSIONAL IMAGE

Examiner: Rodney Evan Fuller

Group Art Unit: 2851

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. on

January 8, 2004
(Date)

John M. Carson, Reg. No. 34,303

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

(X) Response to Office Action in 7 pages.

(X) Return prepaid postcard.

The present application qualifies for small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.27. Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

John M. Carson
Registration No. 34,303
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(619) 235-8550



GRANT OFFICE
NP1.001C1

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Byoungyi Yoon
Application Number: 10/067,628
Filing Date: February 4, 2002
Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD
FOR DISPLAYING 3-
DIMENSIONAL IMAGE
Examiner: Rodney Evan Fuller
Group Art Unit: 2851

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

January 8, 2004

(Date)

John M. Carson, Reg. No. 34,303

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed October 9, 2003, Applicant respectfully submits the following remarks in connection with the above-captioned application.

No Amendments to the Specification, Claims and Drawings have been made.

Summary of Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claim 1

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Allard (U.S. Patent No. 4,743,964) and Carollo (U.S. Patent No. 5,912,650)

Proposed Amendments

None

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Allard either i) does not disclose the claimed inverting and display devices or ii) lacks the claimed inverting devices. The image generators of Carollo do not necessarily invert the images. Carollo does not disclose the claimed inverting and display devices.

Results of Interview

The Examiner has confirmed that the claim rejections by Allard have been overcome. The Examiner mentioned that he would take another look at the Carollo reference to confirm that the above arguments overcome the ground of rejection based on Carollo.